

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/220,691

Applicant(s)
Tsuji et al.

Examiner
JON P. WEBER, Ph.D.

Group Art Unit
1651

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JON P. WEBER, Ph.D.

(3) Ko Azekura

(2) John Pike

(4) _____

Date of Interview 30 May 2000

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☒ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description:

Proposed claims

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: None

Identification of prior art discussed:

Mato et al., Styczynski et al.


Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:
Proposed claims will be sufficient to remedy 2nd paragraph rejections. Will argue that Mato et al. can only provide an obvious to try invitation to experimentation. The recent IDS has not been considered.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.


JON P. WEBER, Ph.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1651

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.